

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. CV-07-5944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS

**ORDER ON PROCEDURES
FOR OBJECTIONS TO REPORTS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS ON CLASS
CERTIFICATION AND MOTION TO STRIKE
EXPERT TESTIMONY**

Date: TBD
Time: TBD
Courtroom: Hon. Samuel Conti

1 WHEREAS, on July 20, 2013, Special Master Quinn issued Reports and
2 Recommendations (“R&Rs”) to grant Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (“Plaintiffs”)’ motion for class
3 certification, and to deny Defendants’ motion to strike the expert testimony of Dr. Netz;

4 WHEREAS, Defendants intend to object to both Reports and Recommendations;

5 WHEREAS, Pursuant to this Court’s June 18, 2012 Order Amending Order Appointing
6 Special Master (the “Amended Order”) (Dkt. No. 1232), a party wishing to file objections to a
7 Special Master’s Report and Recommendation must file such objections within fourteen (14)
8 days from the date the Report and Recommendation was filed on ECF, and responses are due
9 seven days from the filing of those objections;

10 WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agreed: to an extension of the briefing
11 schedule contemplated by the Court’s Amended Order; that separate briefing shall be submitted
12 for each of the R&Rs; and that Plaintiffs’ responsive briefs will be deemed “motions to adopt”
13 under the Court’s orders setting forth the procedure for moving to adopt a Report and
14 Recommendation of the Special Master; and

15 WHEREAS, the parties are unable to agree whether Defendants should be permitted to
16 file reply briefs in response to Plaintiffs’ responsive briefs, or upon the page limits for opening
17 and responsive briefs, the Court rules as follows:

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 19 1. The Court approves the parties’ agreements on an extended briefing schedule.
20 Objections to the R&Rs shall be filed on or before July 22, 2013. Responses shall be filed on or
21 before August 21, 2013.
- 22 2. The Court further approves the parties’ agreement that Plaintiffs’ responsive briefs
23 will be deemed motions to adopt.
- 24 3. Briefs in support of objections or responses shall not exceed 25 pages each,
25 pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7.4.

26 //

//

4. The Court denies Defendants' request for leave to file replies to responsive briefs, and to file briefs in support of objections in excess of 25 pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 3, 2013



Hon. Samuel Conti
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE